



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,664	11/15/2006	Gregor Herth	283280US0PCT	4021
22850	7590	07/01/2009		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				EXAMINER
1940 DUKE STREET				SALVITTI, MICHAEL A
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
				1796
		NOTIFICATION DATE		
		07/01/2009		
		DELIVERY MODE		
		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,664	HERTH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL A. SALVITTI	1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MICHAEL A. SALVITTI. (3) VINCENT K. SHIER.
 (2) LIAM J. HEINCER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record (Chen '040 and Nzudie '395).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative stressed the criticality of the starting temperature as applied to Chen with respect to 102(b) rejections and discussed differences between the combination of Chen and Nzudie with the instant application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M. A. S/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Mark Eashoo/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796